

1 MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)
MJacobs@mofo.com
2 ARTURO J. GONZÁLEZ (CA SBN 121490)
AGonzalez@mofo.com
3 ERIC A. TATE (CA SBN 178719)
ETate@mofo.com
4 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 Market Street
5 San Francisco, California 94105-2482
Telephone: 415.268.7000
6 Facsimile: 415.268.7522
7 Attorneys for Defendants
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
8 OTTOMOTTO LLC, and OTTO TRUCKING LLC

9 KAREN L. DUNN (*Pro Hac Vice*)
kdunn@bsflp.com
10 HAMISH P.M. HUME (*Pro Hac Vice*)
hhume@bsflp.com
11 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
1401 New York Avenue, N.W.
12 Washington DC 20005
Telephone: 202.237.2727
13 Facsimile: 202.237.6131

14 Attorneys for Defendants
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
15 and OTTOMOTTO LLC

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

19 WAYMO LLC,
20 Plaintiff,
21 v.
22 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
23 OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,
24 Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**DECLARATION OF DANIEL P.
MUINO IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL
PORTIONS OF THEIR OPPOSITION
TO PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S
MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION, SUPPORTING
DECLARATIONS, AND EXHIBITS
THERE TO**

Trial Date: October 2, 2017

1 I, Daniel P. Muino, declare as follows:

2 1. I am a partner at the law firm of Morrison & Foerster LLP. I am a member in
3 good standing of the Bar of the State of California. I make this declaration based upon matters
4 within my own personal knowledge and if called as a witness, I could and would competently
5 testify to the matters set forth herein. I make this declaration in support of Defendants'
6 Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Portions of Their Opposition to Plaintiff Waymo
7 LLC's Motion For Preliminary Injunction, Supporting Declarations, and Exhibits Thereto.

8 2. The declarations of Paul McManamon ("McManamon Declaration"), Michael
9 Lebby ("Lebby Declaration"), James Haslim ("Haslim Declaration"), Scott Boehmke ("Boehmke
10 Declaration"), and Sameer Kshirsagar ("Kshirsagar Declaration"), and the Opposition to
11 Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction contain technical, proprietary trade secret
12 information, which is highly confidential. If this information was made public, it could
13 irreparably harm Defendants.

14 3. Specifically, the highlighted portions of the McManamon, Haslim, Lebby, and
15 Boehmke Declarations and the Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction
16 discuss Uber's proprietary and highly confidential designs for Uber's custom LiDAR system.
17 The design of Uber's custom LiDAR system is an Uber trade secret which, if made public, would
18 cause Uber irreparable harm in this very competitive space of autonomous driving.

19 4. All five Exhibits to the Haslim Declaration likewise contain highly confidential
20 trade secret information. Exhibit A is an email that discusses how Uber's LiDAR system was
21 derived, and Exhibits B-E are all designs or summaries describing how the system works.
22 Exhibits A-P of the Boehmke Declaration likewise contain highly confidential trade secret
23 information in the form of confidential schematics, summaries, presentations, and non-published
24 patent applications that discuss Uber's custom LiDAR system.

25 5. The redacted portions of Exhibits 1 and 2 to the Kshirsagar Declaration contain
26 highly confidential salary and compensation information. Uber guards this information closely
27 and, if it were to be released to the public, its competitors could use it to Uber's detriment.
28

